AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS

1. (Currently Amended) A method of calculating probability of collision by birds within a wind park, the method comprising:

modeling a wind turbine to create a wind-turbine model;

modeling a challenged an approaching bird to create a challenged an approaching-bird model;

modeling a wind park to create a wind-park model, the wind park comprising at least more than one of the wind turbine;

calculating a probability of wind-turbine collision by the challenged approaching bird; and

conveying the probability of wind-turbine collision;

wherein the step of calculating comprises using the wind-turbine model, the ehallenged approaching-bird model, and the wind-park model[.]; and

wherein the step of modeling the wind turbine comprises modeling a rotor and at least one of a nacelle, a monopole, and a hub.

2. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein the step of modeling the wind turbine comprises:

dimensionally modeling the wind turbine; and inputting a speed of a rotor of the wind turbine.

3. (Original) The method of claim 2, wherein the step of dimensionally modeling the wind turbine comprises:

inputting a blade depth of the rotor;

inputting a blade width of the rotor; and

modeling a monopole of the wind turbine.

4. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 1, wherein the step of modeling the ehallenged approaching bird comprises:

modeling the challenged approaching bird as a curved surface; and
wherein the challenged approaching-bird model assumes that the challenged approaching
bird enters a plane of the rotor of the wind turbine with a belly of the challenged approaching

- 5. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein the step of modeling the wind park comprises modeling a row of the plurality of the wind turbine.
- 6. (Original) The method of claim 5, wherein the step of modeling the wind park comprises determining a number of rows in the wind park.
- 7. (Original) The method of claim 5, wherein the step of modeling the wind park comprises determining an inter-wind-turbine distance.

bird facing a hub of the rotor.

8. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 1, wherein the step of calculating the probability of collision by the challenged approaching bird comprises:

calculating a worst-case collision probability per row by the challenged approaching bird; and

calculating a best-case collision probability per row by the ehallenged approaching bird.

9. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 8, wherein:

the step of calculating the worst-case collision probability per row by the ehallenged approaching bird is performed at a plurality of ehallenged approaching-bird flight elevations; and the step of calculating the best-case collision probability per row by the ehallenged approaching bird is performed at the plurality of ehallenged approaching-bird flight elevations.

10. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 1, wherein the step of calculating the probability of collision by the challenged approaching bird comprises:

calculating a worst-case collision probability by the challenged approaching bird for the wind park; and

calculating a best-case collision probability by the ehallenged approaching bird for the wind park.

11. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 10, wherein:

$$P_{wc} = 1 - (1 - P_{wcr})^{row}$$
;

 P_{wc} is the worst-case collision probability by the enallenged approaching bird for the wind park;

 P_{wcr} is the worst-case collision probability by the challenged approaching bird per row; and

row is the number of rows in the wind park.

- 12. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 11, wherein P_{wc} and P_{wcr} are each a function of the challenged approaching-bird flight elevation.
- 13. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 1, wherein the ehallenged approaching bird is modeled as an attractor.
- 14. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 1, wherein the ehallenged approaching bird is modeled as an avoider.

- 15. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 1, wherein a non-linear flight path of the challenged approaching bird is simulated by adjusting a flight speed of the challenged approaching bird.
- 16. (Currently Amended) An article of manufacture for calculating probability of collision by birds within a wind park, the article of manufacture comprising:

at least one computer readable medium; and

processor instructions contained on the at least one computer readable medium, the processor instructions configured to be readable from the at least one computer readable medium by at least one processor and thereby cause the at least one processor to operate as to:

model a wind turbine to create a wind-turbine model;

model a challenged an approaching bird to create a challenged an approachingbird model;

model a wind park to create a wind-park model, the wind park comprising at least more than one of the wind turbine;

calculate a probability of wind-turbine collision by the ehallenged approaching bird; and

convey the probability of wind-turbine collision;

wherein the calculation comprises using the wind-turbine model, the ehallenged approaching-bird model, and the wind-park model[.]; and

wherein the step of modeling of the wind turbine comprises modeling a rotor and at least one of a nacelle, a monopole, and a hub.

17. (Original) The article of claim 16, wherein the processor instructions cause the at least one processor to:

dimensionally model the wind turbine; and use a speed of a rotor of the wind turbine.

18. (Original) The article of claim 17, wherein the processor instructions are configured to cause the at least one processor to:

use a blade depth of the rotor;

use a blade width of the rotor; and

model a monopole of the wind turbine.

19. (Currently Amended) The article of claim 16, wherein the processor instructions are configured to cause the at least one processor to:

model the challenged approaching bird as a curved surface; and

wherein the challenged approaching-bird model assumes that the challenged approaching bird enters a plane of the rotor of the wind turbine with a belly of the challenged approaching bird facing a hub of the rotor.

- 20. (Original) The article of claim 16, wherein the processor instructions are configured to cause the at least one processor to model a row of the plurality of the wind turbine.
- 21. (Original) The article of claim 20, wherein the wind-park model comprises a number of rows in the wind park.
- 22. (Original) The article of claim 20, wherein the wind-park model comprises at least one inter-wind-turbine distance.

23. (Currently Amended) The article of claim 16, wherein the processor instructions are configured to cause the at least one processor to:

calculate a worst-case collision probability per row by the challenged approaching bird; and

calculate a best-case collision probability per row by the ehallenged approaching bird.

24. (Currently Amended) The article of claim 23, wherein the processor instructions are configured to cause the at least one processor to:

approaching bird at a plurality of challenged approaching-bird flight elevations; and calculate the best-case collision probability per row by the challenged approaching bird at the plurality of challenged approaching-bird flight elevations.

25. (Currently Amended) The article of claim 16, wherein the processor instructions are configured to cause the at least one processor to:

calculate a worst-case collision probability by the challenged approaching bird for the wind park; and

calculate a best-case collision probability by the challenged approaching bird for the wind park.

26. (Currently Amended) The article of claim 25, wherein:

$$P_{wc} = 1 - (1 - P_{wcr})^{row};$$

 P_{wc} is the worst-case collision probability by the challenged approaching bird for the wind park;

 P_{wcr} is the worst-case collision probability by the ehallenged approaching bird per row; and

row is the number of rows in the wind park.

- 27. (Currently Amended) The article of claim 26, wherein P_{wc} and P_{wcr} are each a function of the challenged approaching-bird flight elevation.
- 28. (Currently Amended) The article of claim 16, wherein the ehallenged approaching bird is modeled as an attractor.
- 29. (Currently Amended) The article of claim 16, wherein the ehallenged approaching bird is modeled as an avoider.
- 30. (Currently Amended) The article of claim 16, wherein the processor instructions are configured to cause the at least one processor to operate so as to simulate a non-linear flight path of the challenged approaching bird by adjusting a flight speed of the challenged approaching bird.
- 31. (Currently Amended) A method of calculating probability of collision by <u>birds</u> animals with at least one structure, the method comprising:

modeling a structure of the at least one structure to create a structure model;

modeling a challenged an approaching animal bird to create a challenged animal an approaching-bird model;

modeling a structure area to create a structure-area model, the structure area comprising at least more than one of the at least one structure;

calculating a probability of structure collision by the ehallenged animal approaching bird; and

conveying the probability of structure collision; and

wherein the step of calculating comprises using the structure model, the ehallengedanimal approaching-bird model, and the structure-area model.

- 32. (Original) The method of claim 31, wherein the step of modeling the structure comprises dimensionally modeling the structure.
- 33. (Original) The method of claim 31, wherein the step of modeling the structure area comprises modeling a row of the at least one structure.
- 34. (Original) The method of claim 33, wherein the step of modeling the structure area comprises determining a number of rows in the structure area.
- 35. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 31, wherein the step of calculating the probability of collision by the ehallenged animal approaching bird comprises:

calculating a worst-case collision probability per row by the challenged animal approaching bird; and

calculating a best-case collision probability per row by the challenged animal approaching bird.

36. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 31, wherein the step of calculating the probability of collision by the ehallenged animal approaching bird comprises:

calculating a worst-case collision probability by the challenged animal approaching bird for the structure area; and

calculating a best-case collision probability by the challenged animal approaching bird for the structure area.

37. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 36, wherein:

$$P_{wc} = 1 - (1 - P_{wcr})^{row};$$

 P_{wc} is the worst-case collision probability by the challenged animal approaching bird for the structure area;

 P_{wcr} is the worst-case collision probability by the ehallenged animal approaching bird per row; and

row is the number of rows in the structure area.

38. (Currently Amended) An article of manufacture for calculating probability of collision by animals birds within a structure area, the article of manufacture comprising:

at least one computer readable medium; and

processor instructions contained on the at least one computer readable medium, the processor instructions configured to be readable from the at least one computer readable medium by at least one processor and thereby cause the at least one processor to operate as to:

model a structure to create a structure model;

model a challenged animal an approaching bird to create a challenged animal an approaching-bird model;

model the structure area to create a structure-area model, the structure area comprising at least more than one of the at least one structure;

calculate a probability of structure collision by the challenged animal approaching bird; and

convey the probability of a structure collision; and

wherein the calculation comprises using the structure model, the ehallengedanimal approaching-bird model, and the structure-area model.

- 39. (Original) The article of claim 38, wherein the processor instructions cause the at least one processor to dimensionally model the structure.
- 40. (Currently Amended) The article of claim 38, wherein the processor instructions are configured to cause the at least one processor to model the challenged animal approaching bird as a curved surface.
- 41. (Original) The article of claim 38, wherein the processor instructions are configured to cause the at least one processor to model a row of the at least one structure.
- 42. (Original) The article of claim 41, wherein the structure-area model comprises a number of rows in the structure area.
- 43. (Currently Amended) The article of claim 38, wherein the processor instructions are configured to cause the at least one processor to:

calculate a worst-case collision probability per row by the challenged animal <u>approaching</u> bird; and

calculate a best-case collision probability per row by the ehallenged animal approaching bird.

44. (Currently Amended) The article of claim 38, wherein the processor instructions are configured to cause the at least one processor to:

calculate a worst-case collision probability by the challenged animal approaching bird for the structure area; and

Docket No.: 92717-00354USPT

calculate a best-case collision probability by the challenged animal <u>approaching bird</u> for the structure area.

45. (Currently Amended) The article of claim 44, wherein:

$$P_{wc} = 1 - (1 - P_{wcr})^{row};$$

 P_{wc} is the worst-case collision probability by the challenged animal approaching bird for the structure area;

 P_{wcr} is the worst-case collision probability by the ehallenged animal approaching bird per row; and

row is the number of rows in the structure area.